

## **Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement**

Our publication ethics and publication malpractice statement is mainly based on Elsevier recommendations and COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors.

Chronica Mundi is committed to ensuring ethics in publication and quality of articles. Conformance to standards of ethical behaviour is expected of all parties involved.

In particular,

**Authors** should be accurate and give sufficient details and references of the research work undertaken. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements are considered unethical behaviour and, therefore, unacceptable. The authors should ensure that their article is entirely original works. If the works and/or words of others have been used, these have been appropriately cited or quoted. Plagiarism is unacceptable in all its forms and constitutes unethical publishing behaviour. Manuscripts should be unpublished in any language and should not be under consideration for publication by any other journal. Submitting articles describing essentially the same research to more than one journal is considered unethical behaviour. The corresponding author should ensure that all co-authors have approved the final version of the article and given their consensus for submission and publication.

**Editors** should evaluate articles exclusively for their academic merit and relevance. Editors will not disclose any unpublished information from a submitted article without the express consent of its author. Any information or idea obtained through the peer review process should be kept confidential. Sections of the journal which are not peer reviewed are clearly identified.

**Reviewers** should treat any manuscripts received as confidential. Any information or idea obtained through the peer review process should be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviews should be conducted objectively. Observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments in order to be used by the authors to improve their article. Reviewers who feel unqualified to review the manuscript or know that their prompt review will not be possible or have conflicts of interest connected to the article should notify the editor and excuse themselves from the review process.

<http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>